

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. CR $\left[6-\kappa\right]$ - 7095	3- WHC
Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLU UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL	DING TIME
AURELIU SAAVEDRA))	
Defendant.)	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on Speedy Trial Act from	sublic and the defendant in a speedy trial	. See 18 U.S.C. §
Failure to grant a continuance would be See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of just	ice.
defendants, the nature of the proof or law, that it is unreasonable to expect	due to [check applicable reasons] to secution, or the existence of nover adequate preparation for pretrial proced by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(rel questions of fact edings or the trial
<u> </u>	deny the defendant reasonable time to obe diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(
	unreasonably deny the defendant continu itments, taking into account the exercise	
	unreasonably deny the defendant the reas king into account the exercise of due dili	FILED
IT IS SO ORDERED.	•	APR 15 2016
DATED: 4/15/2016	SALLIE KIM United States Magistrate Judge	SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney	